



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,610	04/14/2005	Laurent Regnier	S1022.81223US00	8399
46329	7590	03/28/2008		
STMicroelectronics Inc. c/o WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C. 600 Atlantic Avenue BOSTON, MA 02210-2206			EXAMINER PETRANEK, JACOB ANDREW	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2183	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/28/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/531,610		REGNIER, LAURENT	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	JACOB PETRANEK		2183	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JACOB PETRANEK. (3) _____.

(2) James Morris. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: g.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yamashita (U.S. 6,467,083) and Trauben (U.S. 5,594,864).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant discussed the invention in general and how it is different from the cited prior art. The applicant also discussed a possible amendment to the limitation in claim 8 to better clarify that the modifying an output terminal occurs when a digital message is stored in the buffer memory. The examiner agreed that this amendment would clarify the limitation because the current limitation allows for modifying the output terminal at any point in time.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Eddie P Chan/ SPE AU2183

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required